UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,274	02/05/2001	Jean Paul Marcade	06-00939US07	3685
	7590 02/05/201 MERON & HUEBSCH	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE SUITE 500		WILLSE, DAVID H		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3738		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,274	MARCADE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	David H. Willse	3/38	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>January 21, 2010,</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FC	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad</li> </ul>		n the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
		20 ( ) ( )	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **	P (A ) (7)	DTOL 004)
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>	the rejections based on 35 USC 1	12, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph, and	<u>35 USC 101</u> .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	·	·	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 67-72 and 74-82.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/David H Willse/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3738	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed added limitation to claim 67 requires further consideration of prior art references teaching flared stent ends for engaging vascular walls (of the sort classified in 623/1.36 of the U.S. patent classification system).